



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/614,511 | 07/11/2000 | Wanda Andreoni | CH-1999-0004US1 | 2057 |

7590 04/22/2002
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES
129 OAKHURST ROAD
PITTSBURGH, PA 15215

EXAMINER

XU, LING X

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

1774

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-9

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/614,511 | ANDREONI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ling X. Xu | 1774 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ling X. Xu. (3)_____

(2) Mr. Stanley D. Ference. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 16 April 2002 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: All .

Identification of prior art discussed: Tang and Moore .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to the drawing objection, the attorney will decide with the applicants the options of labeling Fig.1 as Prior Art or making the argument that the drawing objection made in the prior Office is not necessary. With respect to the 35USC103(a) rejections, the applicants will provide evidents/data to show that the claimed substituted compound exhibit better/unexpected results than the unsubstituted compounds disclosed in the references .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cynthia H. Kelly

Ling Xu

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required